

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				•
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/712,729	11/12/2003	David Charles Pender	128518-1	9280
6147 7590 06/26/2007 GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY		EXAMINER		
GLOBAL RESEARCH			DEHGHAN, QUEENIE'S	
PATENT DOCKET RM. BLDG. K1-4A59 NISKAYUNA, NY 12309			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1731	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	10/712,729 PENDER ET AL.				
Communication Re: Appeal	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Queenie Dehghan	1731			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
1. The Notice of A ppeal filed on is not acceptable because:					
(a) it was not timely filed.					
(b) the statutory fee for filing the appeal was not submitted. See 37 CFR 41.20(b)(1).					
(c) the appeal fee received on was not timely filed.					
(d) the submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. The appeal fee required by 37 CFR 41.20(b)(1) is \$					
(e) the appeal is not in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31(a)(1) in that no claim has been twice rejected.					
(f) a Notice of Allowability, PTO-37, was mailed by the Office on					
2. The appeal brief filed on 23 October 2006 is NOT acceptable for the reason(s) indicated below:					
(a) the brief and/or brief fee is untimely. See 37 CFR 41:37(a).					
(b) the statutory fee for filing the brief has not been submitted. See 37 CFR 41.20(b)(2).					
(c) the submitted brief fee of \$ is insufficient. The brief fee required by 37 CFR 41.20(b)(2) is \$					
The appeal in this application will be dismissed unless corrective action is taken to timely submit the brief and requisite fee. See 37 CFR 41.37(a)(1). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). See 37 CFR 41.37(e).					
3. The appeal in this application is DISMISSED	because:				
(a) the statutory fee for filing the brief as required under 37 CFR 41.20(b)(2) was not timely submitted and the period for obtaining an extension of time to file the brief under 37 CFR 1.136(a) has expired.					
(b) the brief was not timely filed and the per CFR 1.136(a) has expired.	riod for obtaining an extension of	time to file the brief under 37			
(c) a Request for Continued Examination (F	•				
(d) other: <u>A proper and timely response to tage</u> not been received.	the notification of non-compliant .	Appeal brief dated 11/21/06 have			
4. Because of the dismissal of the appeal, this application:					
(a) 🗵 is abandoned because there are no allo					
(b) is before the examiner for final dispositionon the merits remains CLOSED.	on because it contains allowed c	laims. Prosecution			
(c) is before the examiner for consideration		STEVEN P. GRIFFIN PRIMARY EXAMINER AU 173 1			

Application No.

Applicant(s)

(fontinuation Sheet (PTOL-461)

Application No.

The appeal brief filed on October 23, 2006 is not acceptable because the brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal. The brief also does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review. The brief also does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal. Specifically, the brief fails to identify for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued seperately, every means plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, six paragraph; and the brief fails to contain a statement for the ground of rejection for claim 13 as well as insufficiently list the other art references.